1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DANIELLE L. OCHS, CA Bar No. 178677 danielle.ochs@ogletreedeakins.com BECKI D. GRAHAM, CA Bar No. 238010 becki.graham@ogletreedeakins.com OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & S Steuart Tower, Suite 1300 One Market Plaza San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: 415.442.4810 Facsimile: 415.442.4870 Attorneys for Plaintiff TOPPAN PHOTOMASKS, INC.	A 9 23 CLEHNIO A 9 23
8	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	TOPPAN PHOTOMASKS, INC.,	Case No. CV133323MEJ MMC
12	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND ORDER TO
13	VS.	SHOW CAUSE WHY A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION SHOULD NOT BE ISSUED
14	KEUN TAEK PARK, an individual,	Date:
15	Defendant.	Time: Location:
16		17 Complaint Filed: July 18, 2013
17		Trial Date: Judge: Hon. Maria Elena James
18		Hon. Maxine M. Chesney
19		
20		
21		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Case No.: CV 133323MEJ

[PROPOSED] TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION SHOULD NOT BE ISSUED

7

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

[PROPOSED] TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

Pursuant to Rule 65(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 65-1, Plaintiff's application for a temporary restraining order and an order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue came before this Court for consideration on July 18 2013 at 2:00 p.m. Upon consideration of the application, and for good cause shown:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

- The Court finds that Plaintiff Toppan Photomasks, Inc. ("Plaintiff" or "TPI") has 1. demonstrated a substantial likelihood of success on the merits of its claims against Defendant Keun Taek Park ("Defendant" or "Park") for violation of the California Uniform Trade Secrets Act based on his alleged acquistion of TPI's trade secerts and breach of contract in connection with his alleged breach of multiple confidentiality agreements between the parties. The Court further finds that Plaintiff has demonstrated that, without an order from this Court, it will suffer irreparable harm and that the balance of hardships strongly favors Plaintiff. Accordingly, the Court finds that a temporary restraining order and order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue are in the public interest.
- 2. The Court HEREBY TEMPORARILY RESTRAINS, ENJOINS, and ORDERS Defendant as follows:
 - Defendant is enjoined from accessing TPI's computer systems or networks;
 - b. Defendant is enjoined from possessing, using or disclosing any of TPI's confidential information and/or proprietary data related to its plasma creation and dry etching processes including but not limited to the information contained in the excel file entitled "work daily tracking.xlsx" and the word file entitled "work daily.doc", both of which were attached to an email dated November 29, 2012 sent from KT.Park@photomaks.com to parkindresden@yahoo.com with a subject line entitled "files" (hereinafter "Trade Secrets");
 - Defendant is required to account (through expedited discovery) for and C.

Case No.: CV 1333323MEJ

Case 3:13-cv-03323-MMC Document 16 Filed 07/19/13 Page 3 of 3		
return to TPI any and all of TPI's property, including its Trade Secrets		
obtained by Defendant from TPI; d. Defendant is required to submit to an inspection of Defendant's persona	l and	
work email, servers, hard drives, computer(s), mobile devises, PDAs, U.		
drives and other computer equipment utilized by Defendant, including a		
10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	_	
Secrets do not exist on such devices; and		
8 Defendant must appear for his deposition within 10 days of service of the	e	
Court's order on Defendant.		
3. No security is required in this matter. There is no likelihood of harm to the defer	ndant	
11 4. This Order and all supporting pleadings and papers shall be served upon Defend	lant	
12 by July 22, 2013.		
13		
14 PROPOSEDI ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION		
Defendant is hereby ordered to appear before the Court at 10:00 a.m. on	Defendant is hereby ordered to appear before the Court at 10:00 a.m. on	
August 1, 2013, to show cause why this order should not be extended until the conc	lusior	
17 of this litigation.		
18 July 19 2013		
19 JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT		
20 COURT		
21	6069.1	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 2 Case No.: CV 133333	SME	
[PROPOSED] TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION SHOULD NOT BE ISSUED	JIVIEJ	